



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/665,941		09/21/2000	Sung Bae Jun	P-124	8915	
34610	7590	12/10/2004		EXAMINER		
FLESHNEI P.O. BOX 22		I, LLP	HOYE, MICHAEL W			
CHANTILLY, VA 20153		20153		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2614		

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/665,941	JUN ET AL.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael W. Hoye	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period for reply expire later than the period for reply expire later than the period for reply expire later than the period for reply expires the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this p	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee	e				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	n in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 August 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons 	sidered but does NOT place the	е				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · · —						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-36</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:							

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues: in independent claims 7 and 34, the newly amended claim language, "...said item preference level of the multiple items user preference information being independent of item preference levels for the combined single items", and in independent claim 14, "... and is independent of the first weight value", raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600